

A. R. LURIA

Neuropsychology
as
a Science.

—
An Evening Lecture
for the
XVI International Congress
of
Applied Psychology.
—

—
Moscow

1968

During the last ~~year~~ ^{period} a new branch of psychology has developed, - and that is Neuropsychology.

This branch is ~~very~~ ^{of} a high importance both for the ~~practical~~ ^{practical} applic. problems of Neurological Medicine and for the General Psychology. It happened that I was a witness as well as a direct participant of the formation of this branch; that is why I have chosen for this Evening lecture the topic of "Neuropsychology as a science".

1.

Neuropsychology is emerged as a result of practical demands of the modern Neurology and Neurosurgery.

I still remember a time - some forty years ago - when brain tumors and massive brain ~~hemorrhages~~ ^{hemorrhages} were supposed to be incurable and when the diagnosis of a brain tumor - malignant or benign - was equal to a death sentence.

During the last few decades the situation has changed. Brain tumors as well as intracranial ~~hemorrhages~~ ^{hemorrhages} have become an object of surgical treatment; new methods of prevention of bleeding and brain swelling made it possible to remove pathological foci from brain tissue and to preserve the life of the patients for a long time.

That is why the basic problem of an early and precise diagnostics of the location of the brain injury, as well as the problem of the scientific bases of the rehabilitation of patients after brain injury became now most important.

We shall try to show, what can scientific psychology do to solve these problems, and first of all - ~~temporarily~~ ^{temporarily} how psychological methods can provide an early and reliable local diagnostics of a brain injury. ~~That~~ The solution of this problem is the first practical task of Neuropsychology.

A precise diagnostics of the site of ^atumor or ^{an}hemorrhage is not at all an easy task. It is well known that the clinical methods of topical diagnostics of a lesion are very limited.

After a century of experience Neurologists have developed a battery of Neurological tests which are valid and reliable for a local diagnosis of some brain injuries; ~~but these tests are restricted to evaluate disturbances~~ ^{such symptoms as loss of sensitivity, restriction of movements, alteration of the tone of the muscles and of reflexes, partial disturbance}

of visual fields, - are of a high value for a precise ~~data~~ diagnostics of the location of brain injuries.

(+) Part 2

But these symptoms have a limited value. It is well known that at least three quarters of the ~~brain~~ territory of the brain hemispheres have nothing to do with sensitivity or motility, ~~with~~ ~~muscle~~ tone or reflexes; the predominant part of human cortex can be considered as an apparatus of higher ~~psychological~~ behavioral processes of elaboration and storage of the information, of programming ~~and~~ ~~control~~ ^{human} of psychological actions. It is evident that ~~the~~ ~~on~~ ~~lesions~~ ~~of~~ ~~these~~ ~~zones~~ ~~don't~~ ~~result~~ ~~in~~ ~~disturbances~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~simple~~ ~~sensation~~ ~~and~~ ~~movement~~ ~~and~~ ~~reflexes~~, and that a careful analysis of complex changes in behavior has to be used for the diagnostics of lesions of these ^{complex} parts of the brain.

Now we come to the basic problem: it is well known that such behavioral processes as elaboration ~~of~~ ~~it~~ and storage of information ~~of~~ ~~or~~ ~~control~~ ~~of~~ ~~action~~ are ^{processes of a high} ~~complex~~ ~~very~~ ~~(complicated)~~ ~~systems~~ systems, and that they can suffer in lesions of very different, widespread zones of the brain. Does it mean that a careful study of behavioral changes in local brain lesions cannot be used for a precise topical diagnostics of the location of the lesion?

Modern psychological approach to the ^{structure of psychological} ~~concept of behavioral~~ processes, ^{and} as modern knowledge of the basic ^{functional organization} ~~structure~~ of the brain can ^{be of} ~~give~~ ~~us~~ a considerable help in ~~the~~ our attempts to find a scientific answer to this question.

Let us examine both problems in a very shortly.
A primitive concept of psychological functions ~~as~~ as simple inborn faculties based on separate circumscribed centers of the brain cortex - which remained in psychology during centuries - is now totally ~~abandoned~~ ^{discarded}. ~~It~~ ~~is~~ ~~often~~ ~~studies~~ ~~of~~ ~~after~~ ~~a~~ ~~series~~ ~~of~~ ~~important~~ ~~discoveries~~ ~~of~~ ~~a~~ ~~series~~ ~~of~~ ~~epistemological~~ ~~studies~~ ~~of~~ ~~such~~ ~~eminent~~ ~~scholars~~ ~~as~~ ~~part~~ ~~of~~ ~~such~~ ~~outstanding~~ ~~scholars~~ [as ^{P.} ~~Vygotsky~~ ~~Amosov~~ and N. Bernstein in the USSR, ~~R. Piaget~~ ~~in~~ ~~USA~~ and others in USA ~~manifestations~~ ~~of~~ ~~psychology~~ ~~and~~ ~~biology~~] it became clear that behavioral processes are ~~self~~ ~~com~~ to be considered as complicated self regulating systems which start with a basic ~~of~~ goal, are based on a plan or program and are realized in a series of operations, leading to an ultimate effect; ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~become~~ clear that ~~every~~ ~~behavioral~~ ~~process~~ the effect of every action is signalized in the brain, and ~~that~~ if the matching of the result of the action with the initial plan shows the action succeeded - the behavioral activity stops; if such concordance is absent - the action continues.

Such self-regulating structure of every psychological process is differently formulated by different scholars and it is well known as the ~~mechanism~~^{process} of T-O-T-E of Pribram, Miller and Galanter, as the mechanism of the "Acceptor of Action" of Anokhin or the process of matching of "Ist-Wert" and "Soll-Wert" of N. Berlystein. ~~This scheme is equally~~ ^{is equally} ~~adequate, valid~~ ^{acceptable} for ~~such elementary~~ ^{such} ~~biological~~ systems as breathing or walking as for ~~complicated psycho~~ ^{complicated} behavioral systems as ~~problem solving~~ ^{problem solving} writing or reading, problem solving and decision making; the only difference is that ~~the~~ ^{the} complicated psychological systems are social or historically ~~by their~~ ^{by their} origin, and indirect, ~~symbolic~~ ^{tool or using} by their structure and conscious or voluntary ~~by their~~ ^{by their} mode of functioning.

Now we come to the basic question: what is the cortical organization of these complex functions and self-regulating functional systems?

It is obvious that they cannot be "located" ^{by} in circumscribed groups of nervous cells and that no isolated "center" for complex functional systems can exist. But it is ~~obvious~~ ^{obvious} after all we know ~~now~~ ^{now} from the modern Neurology it is equally obvious that the ~~brain~~ ^{brain} complex functional systems ~~are not based on equipotential~~ ^{are not based on equipotential} behavioral processes are in no case functions of equipotential parts of the brain tissue and that different parts of the brain ~~play~~ ^{don't} play the same role in their organization.

All we know ~~now~~ ^{from modern Neurology} leads us to a conclusion that complex behavioral processes are ~~the~~ ^{the} results of a co-ordinated work of dynamic systems of different parts of the brain, and that every part of the brain plays its own, highly specific role in the organization of psychological processes

That is why ~~different~~ ^{different} complex behavioral processes can suffer in ~~the~~ ^{the} cases of different lesions of the brain; but lesions of different parts of the brain ~~(result in disturbance of very different, specific factors)~~ ^(result in disturbance of very different, specific factors) and are associated with very different type of disturbance of the same functional system.

That is why a careful neuropsychological analysis of behavioral changes in cases of differently located lesions of the brain ~~can be of great value~~ ^{can be of great value} applied for a topical diagnosis of the ~~brain lesion~~ ^{brain lesion} local brain lesion.

And that makes Neuropsychology a valuable method ~~in one of the~~

~~most important problems of Neurological Clinic - in localizing-
vestiges of that of an early and precise stage local diagnosis
of the lesions of the Brain.~~

2.

Let us ~~now~~ summarize the basic principles of the functional orga-
nization of the Human Brain; a clear understanding of these princi-
ples can be of a ^{basic} ~~principal~~ significance for Neuropsychology.

Modern Neurological Science ^{can single out} ~~gives all grounds for a statement~~,
~~that there are three basic blocks~~ ^{which are the basic functional parts of the brain;} ~~that the human brain consists~~
at least of three basic blocks; ~~namely~~ ^{all} these blocks partici-
pate in every behavioral process, each of them making its own
contribution to the ^{construction} ~~flow~~ of psychological processes. That is why
a disturbance of ~~even~~ each block results in a definite disorganiza-
tion of human complex forms of human behavior, but the type of
this disorganization is different in lesions of different blocks.
(After the brilliant investigation of Magoun and Moruzzi, Jasper
and Lindsay)

The first block can be designated as the block of energy and
tone of cortical processes. After the brilliant investigation of
Magoun and Moruzzi, Jasper and Lindsay it is ^{evident} ~~clear~~ that this
functional unit includes the apparatuses of the lower and higher
brain stem and the reticular formation. These parts of
the brain stem are in close double-way ~~cor~~ relations with the
Cortex, especially with the mesio-basal parts of the frontal
lobes; they provide a stable optimal tone of the cortex which
is one of the most important conditions for a normal selective
organization of psychological processes, a selective organization
of the input of and storage of information, for a stable pre-
servation of the programs and plans of behavior, for a precise
evaluation of the outcome of actions.

If the first block is injured, and a tumor or hemorrhage ^{al} ~~of~~ ^{disturbs}
higher ^{disturbs} parts of the brain stem, the walls of the third ventricle
or the limbic parts of the brain ~~are~~ ^{are} disturbed, - the whole
Cortex ~~comes to~~ ^{turns} in a pathological state: the tone of the cortex
(~~is lowered, the stability of its neurodynamic processes becomes~~ ^{normal relation excitatory and inhibitory processes}
~~deranged, and the selectivity of psychological processes~~), the
stability of normal neurodynamic processes becomes disturbed,
and marked deterioration of wakefulness, retention and disor-
ganization of memory traces is seen, and the selectivity of

The psychological processes ~~can~~ suffers.

You may know the general law of neurodynamics described by Pavlov as "The law of force": In a normal cortex strong or significant stimuli become dominant and evoke strong reactions while feeble or insignificant stimuli ~~can easily~~ ^{are easily} suppress evoked unstable traces and can be easily suppressed. That provides a selective concentration of excitatory processes and that is one of the most important conditions for the highly ~~organized~~ and selective organization of all mental processes.

The situation changes when the tone of the cortex is lowered, and Pavlov's ~~to~~ ^{now} observations described the "inhibitory states" of the weak cortex: Strong or significant stimuli evoke the same responses as the weak or insignificant ones, a concentration of excitatory processes on dominant foci becomes impossible and when the inhibitory states increases, - weak or insignificant cortical processes ^{is seen} ~~is~~ ^{begin to} ~~is~~ a paradoxical state, and weak or insignificant stimuli ^{begin to} evoke even stronger reactions than strong and significant one.

~~or~~ You can imagine what a disorganization of a normal flow of psychological processes results from these lesions is associated with such states; remember how diffuse ~~became our thoughts~~ ^{and} ~~remained~~ and disorganized became our thoughts in a drowsy state and how strange are our associations in hypnagogic situations of fatigue and dream... It is obvious that a lesion of the ~~parts~~ ^{of the first} ~~of the first~~ ^{higher} parts of the brain stem, tumors of the third ventricle or parts of the limbic system ~~result in~~ ^{marked} result in marked changes of behavior: mental processes become exhaustable ~~and instable~~ ^{and} ~~and~~ ^{then to be} ~~are~~ weak and are easily inhibited by ~~inter~~ ^{inter} every interference; the flow of association loses its normal selectivity and the control of behavior suffers. ~~For~~ ^{For} A year ago ^I ~~was~~ ^{described} jointly with my friend/Macdonald Critchley ^{some behavioral} ~~such~~ ^{results} of a tumor of the mental parts of the frontal lobe, and since that publication new data on the ~~to~~ disturbance of stability of behavioral processes in lesions of the deep parts of the brain are collected.

The second block of the brain is much better studied and its role in the functional organization of behavior is much better known.

Cortex

It includes the posterior parts of the ~~hemispheres~~ and plays a dec role in the input, coding and storage of information. Its func nal organization is highly different from the first block: whi The ~~neuronal~~ nervous apparatus of the first block are to a consi rable degree unspecific and provide a gradual change of wakeful ness, - The neuronal systems of the second block have a highly m odality specific, and we can easily distinguish ~~parts of~~ separate pa of the cortex which have a role of optic, acoustic, or ~~kinesth~~ ~~cutaneous~~ or kinesthetic analyzers. In contradistinction to the apparatuses of the first block, - every part of the second block has a very ~~at~~ precise hierarchical organization: it has a base a ~~per~~ circumscribed primary zone (or extrinsic) cortical zones - ~~a~~ zones of the input of modalities ~~of~~ visual, acoustic or sensory information; these zones ^{are} organized by ~~a~~ secondary (or intrinsic) zones which plays a decisive role in further organization and coding of information; The hierarchical organi zation is completed in tertiary zones which can be designed as ~~zone~~ of overlapping of different specific parts of the cortex and which serve as ~~as~~ special devices of simultaneous (or quasi-spatial) synthesis of separate data and ~~of~~ which ^{are necessary for the organization of} ~~provide~~ simultaneous (quasi-spatial) schemes of behavior.

The ~~function~~ principles of the functional organization of these zones will be known, and the latest findings of the function of single neurons with ~~the~~ ^{the} highest specificity of their work, described by Hubel and Wiesel, Jung and others open new vistas in the analysis of their intimate mechanisms.

It is clear that injuries to the ~~of~~ different parts of this second block of the brain result in behavioral disturbances which are very different from those ~~of~~ resulting from lesions of the first block. Lesions of primary ~~zones of~~ (visual, acoustic or sensory) zones of ^{this block} ~~the cortex~~ result in very specific visual, acoustic or sensory deficits and ~~have~~ ^{don't bring} marked behavioral ~~changes~~. Lesions of the secondary zones are associated with more complicated, ~~but highly specific~~ disturbances which ^{as a rule} ~~remain~~ are restricted to specific modalities. Such well known facts as optico-acoustic ~~defects~~ ^{or acoustico-kinesthetic} ~~or loss of~~ ~~disturbances of elaborated decoding of phonemes~~ can serve as examples of such ~~lesions~~ functional disorders. It has to be stressed that lesions of secondary zones of ~~even each part of the second block~~ occipital or temporal zone of the cortex ~~invariably~~ are inevitably associated with not only with very specific processes of coding of information

✓ yet

how important ~~is~~ is their participation in every complex behavioral processes.

~~Disproportionate~~ Frontal lobes of the human brain have ~~very~~ ^{very} ~~int~~ and first of all their mesial and basal parts have very intimate ascending and descending connections with the brain stem ^{of} and its reticular formation, and that makes Frontal Lobes an important device for regulation of active states of human behavior.

(*) Only a few years ago Grey Walter showed that ~~ev~~ each active expectancy evokes special slow waves in the Frontal cortex, and "expectancy waves" disappear after the subject's attention is exhausted. Simultaneously ^{M.} Livanov from Moscow showed that ~~ea~~ each intellectual strain results in an ^{emergence} appearance of a complex synchronous ~~working~~ active excited points in the frontal cortex and that these synchronously ~~for~~ working foci disappear in a passive state or after application of tranquilizers.

(*) There ^{data observations} ~~data~~ ^{made} it highly probable that ^{Human} Frontal Lobes play a decisive role in the process of activation.

This assumption was confirmed by ~~an~~ ^{series of} observations by my friend Dr E.D. Konuskaya ~~and~~ ^{and} her co-workers made in our laboratory. A series of experiments proved that in a normal person a verbal instruction which added a special signalling function to a stimulus ("pay attention!", "count the stimuli!", "press the key after the stimulus appears!") result in ^{a normal person in} marked vegetative and electrophysiological changes which can be regarded as symptoms of an orienting reflex (constriction of vessels, depression of alpha-rhythm, change of frequencies of the EEG, and in the asymmetry of ascending and descending fronts of the alpha waves, intensification of evoked potentials). All these changes ~~remain~~ can be observed ^{as well} in patients with lesions of the posterior parts of the brain; but they disappear in patients with severe lesions of the Frontal Lobes and especially of their mesial ~~and~~ parts.

That proves that the Frontal Lobes play an important role in regulation of active states of the brain, and that lesions of the Frontal Lobes result in an inability to preserve ~~an~~ ^{all} active state vigilance which is basically important for ^{all} stable decisions, ~~and~~ ^{and} preservation of plans and active regulation of behavior.

Such role of the Frontal lobes in the process of activation explains a series of ~~the~~ ~~the~~ behavioral changes observed in patients with severe lesions of the Frontal Lobes.

Psychiatrists know all right that patients with ~~such~~ tumors or wounds

(*)
(*)
(*)
(*)

of the Frontal Lobes become inactive, even though they future-linked behavior and become they are unable to evaluate the effects of their actions. They lose the ability to construct intention and to follow the programs given by verbal instructions; although they retain the instructions, they become unable to follow them and their meaningful, selective behavior is soon easily replaced by impulsive "fixed-linked" actions or passive stereotypes.

If the patient is asked to make a simple ~~imitative~~ reaction, imitating the movement of the experimenter, he does it all right; but if the instruction requires a re-coding of the stimulus given and the movement has to follow an intermediate program (for example (such is the case when the instruction is given: "When I lift my fist - you will show me your fist; and when I lift my fist - you will show me your fingers) - the required action becomes very rapidly replaced by primitive, imitative reactions. The same can be seen if the patient has to ^{give} fulfill a reaction of choice, lifting his right hand after a single knock, and his left hand after a double knock. It is easy to repeat this action two-three times and then to change the sequence of signals, - and the patient ceases to give adequate choice reaction and replaces them by senseless stereotyped movements (R-L-R-L) independent from the signals.

Such loss of the selective, meaningful programmed forms of behavior can be seen in more complex experiments where selective intentions dominant intentions are replaced by unselectively evoked associations or inert stereotypes.

If the patient with severe lesion of the Frontal Lobe is unable to follow ready-made programs given in the instruction, it is evident that he becomes totally unable to build his own plans, to select useful information and to ~~construct~~ construct a strategy of a complex behavior.

We can show that by two experiments.

It is well known that if an instruction is given to a normal person to touch with closed eyes ^a sets of checkers, one in a form of "H" and the second in a form of "E" and to decide which of both letters is given, the subject begins with extended trials but very rapidly replaces them by abbreviated process, singling out the useful information on touching only the checker which made the difference of the two letters. That is not the case in Frontal Lobe patients. ^{No seeking movements and no} ~~the~~ abbreviation of the process takes place, and no attempts to single out useful information is observed; the patient continues to touch all checkers, but is unable to receive the information needed and to make the decision required.

The same can be seen in an even more impressive experiment. It is well known that ~~per-visual~~ evaluation of a complex picture vis information requires a strategy of perception, and that ~~the~~ eye movements ~~are~~ ~~can~~ ~~be~~ ~~as~~ of the subject ~~are~~ ~~very~~ reflect such a strategy. It is very easy to show that recording ocular movements of a normal person during observation of simple and complicated pictures, ~~by~~ ~~the~~ ~~me~~ fastening a mirror to the sclera and recording the beam of light reflected during this observation (a method proposed by A.L. Yarbus). The records obtained show that a normal person singles out the most informative points of the picture, and when different instructions were given, - the strategy of eye movement changes entirely.

⊗

No such process is seen in patients ~~to~~ with lesions of the frontal lobes, and searching, meaningful movements are replaced here by ~~(that, slow chaotic)~~ senseless, chaotic or inert stereotyped eye movements showing that the strategy of ~~searching~~ ~~action~~ ~~selection~~ searching actions becomes impossible.

⊗

I shall spare your time and shall not dwell on the analysis of the destruction of problem solving behavior in these patients; it is described in one of my latest books published with G.L.S. Tsvetkova, where the full information can be obtained.

We can stop our analysis of the basic functional blocks of the human brain and their role in the organization of behavioral processes.

It is easily seen that each block takes part in ~~to~~ its organization of complex ~~behavior~~ ^{behavioral processes}, playing its own role in this organization. That is why a careful neuropsychological study of the type of behavioral changes after local brain lesions can be of a high value for a local diagnostics of ~~the~~ brain injuries.

3.

We have summarized our ~~modern~~ knowledge about the basic blocks of the human brain. = Now we ~~can~~ return to our initial question: Can psychology be of any help in the local diagnostics of brain injuries?

It is clear now that no complicated psychological process is local, in a definite, circumscribed cortical area and that ~~it is a result~~ every form of complex behavior is a result of a joint work of a functional system of different zones of the brain, each of them providing its own component to the physiological bases of

behavioral processes.

That ~~means~~ has a decisive significance for the evaluation of behavioral symptoms of every local brain injury. It means that disturbances of every complex behavioral process can be seen from differently ^{located} brain lesions, but each time the pathological focus eliminates one or another specific factor, ~~the~~ participating in a functional system, and the structure of behavioral disturbance is very different.

Let us show that using only one example; but this example will convince us how ~~very~~ important is a sophisticated psychological analysis of the type of dissolution of behavioral process in case of differently localized brain injuries.

For didactic purposes we shall choose the example of disturbances of writing in different local lesions of the brain. ~~That~~ ^{although we cannot select can show} example can give us more it possible to show the type of the Neuropsychological analysis of a very complicated disturbance ~~and as well as~~ and serve us as an ^{model} ~~example~~ of a ~~process~~ ^{psychological} evaluation of its ~~injury~~ ^{psychological} evaluation of the local brain injury.

The idea that disturbances of writing ~~are~~ is a result of a very circumscribed lesion of the ^{middle parts of the} premotor zone of the left hemisphere - the ~~so~~ "Exner's centre" is abandoned more than a half century ago. During the first decades of this century it became clear that writing is not at all a complex system of hand movement, ~~and~~ that its structure is much more complicated, and that practically defects in writing can be observed in ~~very broad~~ ^{cortical} ~~area~~ lesions of a very broad area of the left hemisphere. ~~Psychological~~ ^{neuropsychological} observations have shown that the kind of writing disturbances is very different in lesions of different parts of the zones of the human cortex.

Let us ~~firstly analyze the process~~ start from a psychological analysis of the process of writing, and try to explain how this process can suffer in different lesions of the brain.

To write down a word we have to ~~single out~~ listen to the continuous flow of speech ^{sounds} and to single out separate, discrete ^{phonemes} ~~sounds~~ which we ~~can~~ have to code in letters. This is a complex problem, and

the a good. ~~an acute hearing~~ ear is not at all sufficient for such an end. ~~Each~~ Every language has its own phonemic system; it means that every language uses its own acoustic cues which play a decisive role in ^{the} discrimination of one meaning from another. Sometimes these cues are very fine, ~~and~~ but for a person who is grown up in a culture of this language they are decisive and very easy distinguishable: for an Englishman "vine" and "wine", "special" and "spatial" ~~are~~ sound very differently and ^{he} can hardly ~~be~~ make a mistake in their discrimination; so are the sounds "b" and "p" ~~is~~ both in English and in Russian, and "bull" and "pull", "b" and "park" are very different words ~~to~~ which hardly have some features in common although their ~~of~~ acoustic difference is a very strong one.

The situation changes if we turn to phonemic systems which are different from our native language. The ^{Russian} cue of "hardness" and "softness" is strange for the English or French language, and that is why these words totally different for a Russian (ноль = fire, ноль = dust, and му = he drunk) are hardly distinguishable for an English - or French speaking subject. The same happens in typical for the Chinese language, where the high of the ^{pitch} ~~tone~~ is a decisive cue, and where "ma" means "to buy" and "ma" means "to sell", or for one of the Caucasian languages where "ant'lico" means "six", and "ant'lico" - means "seven." I can only mention ~~that~~ ^{the} Vietnamese language where "tư" pronounced with different pitches has at least six ~~mean~~ meanings!

But the differentiation of phonemes is a task fulfilled with the participation of the cortical parts of the "acoustic analyzer" - and especially ~~to~~ of the secondary zones of the left temporal lobe, which have intimate connections with other parts of the "speech area" that is why ~~a~~ lesions of this zone result in a disturbance of the discrimination of close (or correlative) phonemes, and patients with wounds of that region become unable to discriminate ^{even in their own languages} some sounds as "b" and "p", "t" and "d" (evaluating them as insignificant variations of the same phoneme). Our observations ~~on~~ on many hundreds of patients with local brain wounds and tumors made this discrimination of phonemes one of the most reliable tests of lesions of the left temporal lobe. This basic defect has marked secondary results, and one of them

⊗
⊗

is a severe disturbance of the writing in patients with lesions of the left temporal zone. Of an importance is the fact that the disturbances ~~are~~ ~~have~~ ~~a~~ ~~very~~ ~~distinct~~ type: patients with lesions of the left temporal lobe confuse ~~to~~ in their writing "correlative phonemes", can write "Tome" instead of "Tom" or "pull" instead of "bull"; they hardly can single out separate phonemes from complex groups of consonants, and their writing becomes highly disturbed.

②

It is very interesting to note that severe disturbances of writing in patients with lesions of the acoustic ^{regions} parts of the dominant hemisphere which are one of the basic symptoms of such lesions in Europeans, are not seen in Chinese because their writing is an ideographic one and is not based on discrimination of different phonemes!

Acoustic analysis of phonemes is the first step of writing but not at all the only one.

~~The~~ To improve the phonemic analysis of the phonemes one has to sometimes to apply additional aids, and these aids are the articulation of ^a sound, the motor analysis of the structure of the word. "How do you spell your name?" one asks when the sound phonemic structure of the name remains ^{uncertain} ~~uncertain~~: "B-a-m-b-l-e?" And when we add an articulatory analysis of the word - its structure becomes ^{certain} ~~clear~~, and we are able to write ^{down} ~~down~~.

To prove the role of the articulation in writing I asked one of my co-workers to make a special observation in a class of pupils. If you enter a class of a first or second grade pupils studying writing in ~~Russian or German schools~~ (it is not the case of English schools) - you can mark a noise in the class: pupils try to pronounce the words they write, and the class is full with a buzz. Is that useful or distractive? Teachers hardly can answer this question.

To prove that I asked my co-worker to ^{compare} ~~repeat~~ writing associated with such ~~and~~ ~~could~~ repetition of the words with ~~and~~ ~~was~~ the same process in different conditions, where ~~to~~ we asked the child to write holding their mouth open or squeezing their tongue between their teeth. In the last cases the amount of mistakes in writing increased six times!

That means ^{that} at the first stages loud articulation of the word is

of a considerable help for evaluating the sounds and for writing and that only at the latest stages this component becomes less significant.

But for a precise articulation requires a participation of different cortical zones, - and first of all a participation of the post-central (kinesthetic) parts of the cortex of the left hemisphere. That is why in lesions of these parts we observe disturbances of precise articulations, confusion of similar (or correlative) articulations (as "b" ^{and} "m", or "d", "l" and "n") and new difficulties in writing, - This time in ~~form~~ in a form of confusing ^{of writing} letters which are differently articulated. In such cases the patient can write "ston" ^(groan) instead of "stone" (table), "khenat" or "khadat" (meanings) instead of "khalat" ~~(coat)~~ (coat), - and for a trained Neuropsychologist such mistakes give a ground to suppose lesion of post central parts of the dominant hemisphere as a cause of the writing disturbances.

(*)

The process of writing begins with the evaluation of phonemes but has to go several steps further.

The next step of this process is re-coding the (phonemic) acoustic ^{units} components of the speech - phonemes - in visual units of the writing process - letters or graphemes. That requires ^{the participat} ~~different~~ parts of the brain - especially those of visual (occipital) ~~of the cortex~~ and spatial (parieto occipital) ^{zones} parts of the cortex close relations with the acoustic (temporal) zones. That is why the ~~writing~~ process of writing is severely disturbed in case lesion of the left ^{temporo} parieto-occipital and parieto-occipital lesions; but the type of disturbances ~~of the~~ is in these cases very different from what we have described earlier.

Patients ~~of~~ of this group don't feel any trouble to analyse the acoustical constitution of the word, nor do they confuse their ~~the~~ phonemic elements. But they realize ~~se~~ marked troubles ~~just~~ when they begin to re-code phonemes in letters or graphemes the ~~cor~~ relation of letters with sounds is ^{often} lost, and the patient begins to seek unsuccessfully the letter he needs, saying: "Oh, who is really the letter for "n" - that one, or that one?", or he ~~begins~~

(*)

tries

① ~~the attempts~~ to find spatial relations of the elements of the showing marked troubles in distinguishing the needed structure the letter from the mirror one, or being unable to combine separate parts of the letter in a coherent whole. All these difficulties are clearcut symptoms of lesions of the visual and spatial parts of the cortex, and they can be easily distinguished from the forms of lesions of acoustic or kinesthetic zones of the hemisphere.

We described the first two kinds of the writing process of writing and we come now to the last part of the process.

To write down a word does not mean to write a letter, it is a whole word which has to be written, and the word consists of a sequence of sounds and letters. That is why the subject has to preserve a sequential order of the elements and to analyze its serial organization.

Here we come to a new and most significant point in the cortex organization of Higher Cortical Processes.

It was K.S. Lasley who found ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ thirty years ago that spatial and sequential analysis are incompatible processes, that ~~they are~~ different zones of the brain are required for their organization. ~~During~~ In special observations fulfilled during several decades we found that ^{while} spatial analysis is provided by parieto-occipital parts of the cortex, sequential analysis requires participation of anterior parts of the hemisphere, whether Temporal (acoustic) or prefrontal. That is why disturbances of ~~temporo-frontal~~ ^{fronto-temporal} and the lower parts of the prefrontal zones of the left hemisphere, result not only in disturbances of the prosodic (rhythmical) organization of movements, but in severe disturbances of serial organization of speech and writing processes. Patients with such lesions display severe difficulties in preservation of the sequence of letters in writing, sometimes ~~they~~ ^{they} change the position of separate letters, and the word, sometimes ~~they~~ ^{they} are unable to proceed from one letter to another and often replace the needed serial order with an inert stereotype

②

③

② If the lesion is located in the deep parts of the brain disturbing the normal relations of the cortex with the basal ganglia, new symptoms arise - this time forced repetition of some fragments of the letter, and the patient becomes unable to write although his acoustic analysis of the ~~or~~ verbal sounds or his spatial analysis of the components of the graphemes remains intact.

May I only mention ~~the~~ the last - but mostly important ^{defect} ~~moment~~ in writing?

We don't write only ~~the~~ letters or words; we express in writing our intention and thoughts, - and when the apparatuses of the third block of the brain are injured - and that is in cases of severe lesions of the frontal lobes, - intention and plans are disturbed, and the patient becomes unable to express his intentions and thoughts, both in a verbal ^{and} in a written form. I can hardly forget a letter a woman with severe lesion of the left frontal lobe has written to its famous Russian Neurosurgeon Professor Burdakov. "Dear professor, she wrote, I want to tell you that I want to tell you that I want to tell you..." and four pages of the letter didn't want a step further!..

We have completed the long way of ^{the} psychological analysis of the process of writing and of its ~~disturbance~~ ^{the} symptoms of its disturbances in cases of ~~lesions~~ different local lesions of the brain. It was only one example but we have learned important data ~~of the~~ ^{on the} style of neuropsychological analysis of ^{this model and its} local disturbances of ~~verbal~~ ^{verbal} processes in different local brain lesions.

We realized that a ~~some~~ behavioral process can suffer in lesions of different parts of the brain, but that in ~~each case~~ different localization of the injury the type of the disturbance is different. That is why the work of the Neuropsychologist who wants to use his method for a local diagnostics of the brain lesion is not a mere statement that the function is disturbed ^{but} rather in a qualification of the type of the disturbance, in ^{finding} ~~finding~~ the primary defect underlying this disturbance and in description of all secondary or systemic disorders ^{following} ~~of this~~ primary defect.

We have chosen disturbances of writing as ^{a model} ~~an example~~ of such analysis; but we could as well use as an example the Neuropsychological analysis of perception or movement, of memorizing or concept formation, of fulfillment fulfillment of a planned action or problem solving.

During last three decades we made an ^{analysis of the differences of the} ~~the~~ analysis of the disturbances of all these processes in local brain lesions and we showed how a detailed ~~and~~ description of the ^{kind} of these disturbances can be used for a local diagnostics of brain injury.

And that is the basic method of neuropsychology

4.

~~We have seen that every zone of the cortex makes its own contribution to the organization of every complex behavioral process and the local significance of the symptoms can be obtained by singling out the primary defects evoked by local brain lesion and by showing how the behavioral processes suffer in cases of such primary defects.~~

But Neuropsychology is not only an applied branch ~~of~~ of psychology; it is a self sustained Science as well; and that means that important theoretical sequence can be ^{drawn} ~~made~~ from this general principle from Neuropsychological investigations.

When we say that each cortical zone ~~is~~ takes part in the organization of behavioral processes in its own way, - we ~~say~~ are saying the new ways to an analysis of special factors included in behavioral processes ^{can be discovered} ~~are opened~~, and that a really new approach to the factor analysis of complex psychological processes ~~becomes~~ can be used.

You all remember the efforts made in psychology to discover separate constituents of the psychological processes and to learn more about the inner structure of complex behavioral ^{capacities} ~~aptitudes~~. ~~The~~ Complicated method of intercorrelation of the results of batteries of different tests in large populations were used, and it is enough to remember the names of such brilliant scholars as Spearman and Thurstone, not mentioning

more recent names, + to evaluate all the efforts made in this to solve this problem.

Neuropsychology ~~is~~ opens ^a ~~new ways~~ ^{ready} kind of ~~to the~~ psychological factor analysis, and that is a factor analysis on one person.

If a local brain lesion results in a disturbance of one of the factors included in a complex behavioral process ^{the basic tone of cortical processes, the} acoustic or kinesthetic analysis, spatial organization of the input or sequential organization of ~~the behavior~~ ^{psychological processes - it leads to a clear-cut secondary results: all complex behavioral processes which include this factor as a basic component ~~become~~ ^{are observed} disturbed, and all behavioral processes in which this factor is absent remain ~~present~~ ^{intact}. # We know from our clinical observations that, in cases where ^{lesions of the left temporal lobe results in defects of a acoustic analysis of speech sounds} ~~tripling out phonemes is disturbed~~ - perception of speech, repetition of verbal sounds, word naming of objects and writing become severely damaged, but orientation in space or ^{simple} ~~written~~ calculation remain intact. In contrary, cases of lesions ~~of lesions~~ of the left parieto-occipital cortex, which destroys ^{simultaneous or} ~~orientation in space~~ spatial organization of the information is closely associated ~~with~~ ^{with} disturbances of complex ~~practical or symbolic deficits~~ ^{practical} of counting etc. - and don't ~~lead~~ ^{result} in any dissolution of fluent speech or musical prosodic melodies.}

That opens new vistas in the analysis of factors underlying different behavioral processes. We can easily observe how different psychological functions are ~~is~~ ^{are} interrelated in one person, and which group of functions have common factors.

Three main tasks become possible by using this method of analysis: ^{we can} finding basic differences in quasi-similar processes; ^{we can} ~~discover~~ ^{discover} common factors in processes which seem at the first glance seem different; and ^{we become able to} ~~evaluating~~ ^{evaluating} the different ~~structural~~ ^{inner} structure of the same behavioral processes at different stages of their functional development.

Let us examine these three problems separately.

There are psychological processes which seem to be closely related ~~if~~ ^{if} not identical in their components, although their relationship is

so easy not proved. An example of such processes is ~~The speech and musical acoustic perception of verbal hearing of speech and musical sounds.~~

Neuropsychological investigations give unexpected results: as it was shown by several authors lesions of the left temporal lobe result in marked disturbances of ^{discrimination of phonemes} phonemic hearing, while musical hearing remains undisturbed. I had an opportunity to observe during three years an outstanding Russian composer who had a hemorrhage in the left temporal lobe, suffered with severe sensory aphasia, was unable to single out verbal sounds and understand ~~speech~~ oral speech, but who created ~~in~~ during these years magnificent symphonies. Doesn't it prove that there are very different factors in these two processes which seems to be so close from the first glance?

There are some psychological problems of an opposite type. Several behavioral processes ^{seem to be} so different that one can hardly find a common factor in them. What can be ~~be~~ in common in orientation in space, calculation and understanding of complex logico-grammatical structures? The analysis of this problem by means of the Neuropsychology can ~~pro~~ result in a very unexpected conclusion. It is well known that lesions ~~of~~ of the left inferior part of the left parietal zone ^{inevitably} result in disturbances in orientation in space, ^{which are associated with} severe defects in calculation and in an inability to understand complex logico-grammatical constructions? Doesn't it mean that there are common factors in such quasi different behavioral processes?

A close analysis of these data show the nature of these common factors. To ~~subtract~~ make a subtraction 31-7 one has firstly to ~~subtract~~ ^{fulfill} an operation 30-7 = 23, and then to add the remaining "1". That is easy for a normal subject; but a patient with lesions of the left inferior parts of the left parietal lobe is unable to do this ~~he~~ he wonders if he has to place the remaining "1" to the ~~left~~ left or to the right of the ~~intermediate~~ ^{obtained} results - ~~or~~ in other words to add or to subtract it, and he fails in this operation. It is clear that after ~~more~~ ^{more} complex arithmetical operations remain totally unsolvable to him ~~because of the same~~ ~~process~~ as a result of the same defects.

The same can be said about the understanding of complicated, relations

logico-grammatical forms.

To understand the difference between such constructions as "father's brother" and "brother's father", "The cross under the triangle" or "The triangle under the cross", "The spring ~~is~~ summer is after the spring" or "The spring is after the summer", as well such well known relational constructions as "Jenny is darker than Kate but fairer than Ann", - one has to grasp the elements of these constructions in an inner space and to grasp the quasi spatial relations between the alternative mentioned. That is why lesions of the inferior parts of the left parietal zones, eliminated the factor of simultaneous spatial synthesis result in disturbance of these complicated forms of verbal behavior.

It is clear that both the discovery of common factors in different behavioral processes and of common factors in very similar behavioral processes is of a very high importance, and can suggest the structure of the future psychological science which will differ from its modern structure, and Neuropsychology will play a decisive role in this development.

May we now pass to the last question which is of a high psychological interest?

It is well known that after a certain period of training behavioral processes can be automatized and that highly organized skills can be developed.

Does it mean that ^{in this process} the whole structure of the psychological process becomes changed and that its cortical organization receives new forms? One can hardly answer this question by ordinary psychological ways; but for Neuropsychology the solution of this problem often becomes evident, not too difficult.

We know all right the famous example of Gowers patient being instructed to repeat ~~the~~ the word "no" said after a series of unsuccessful ^{trials} efforts: "No, doctor, I can't say, no!..." ~~By the way~~ when the patient with a local brain lesion becomes unable to fill a new and unhabituated task but has no difficulties in performing the same action in an automatized way are very common in clinical observations. We remember very clear an old lady who had a haemorrhage in the left temporo-parietal part of the cortex.

and who was totally unable to write a word; but if s
 was asked to write a phrase very quickly, - she did it w
 hesitation. Observati. cases when ~~a temporal~~ ^{by dic} patients
 with lesions of the temp^{or} left temporal lobe don't writ
 but preserve written signatures, are ^{very often observed} obvious in the clinic
 local brain injuries. Doesn't it mean that ~~the whole psychophysiol~~ ^{training a}
 result in a basic ~~to~~ significant change of the whole
 structure of psychophysiological structure of the behavior
 process, and that functions, which required a complex
 acoustico-kinesthetic analysis now can be realized with s
 motor stereotype based ^{quite} on different ~~assemblies of nervous~~
 tical zones?

The ~~que~~ problem of the essence of the functional developm
 of behavioral processes is one of the most intriguing problem
 of psychology; it could be only expected that Neuropsychol
 will find its ways ~~for~~ ^{help in} ~~to~~ ^{answering} this question.

We tried to do our best to describe the basic features of
Neuropsychology as a science. We have tried to show its b
 associated with the successes of modern Neurosurgery, its p
 cal application and its ~~theor~~ significance for the psycholog
 theory.

We are sure ~~that~~ Neuropsychology - this youngest branch of p
 cheological sciences is standing now on terra firma and i
 it will ~~be~~ find its important place in ^{the solution} ~~further development~~
 of the most complicated ^{problems divisions} ~~branches~~ of ~~the science of~~
 Human Behavior.

June 1968.